



PEPFAR

U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief



FY17Q4 Reporting: OVC_SERV and OVC_HIVSTAT

Webinar

Oct. 16, 2017



Objectives

- Aware of definition change for OVC_SERV that applies to FY17Q4 reporting
- Improve data quality reported for FY17Q4
- Understand how implementation of the MER 2.0 updates is going and provide clarification



Outline

- OVC_SERV indicator definition change and what constitutes a service
- FY17Q2 results for OVC_SERV and data quality checks
- FY17Q2 results for OVC_HIVSTAT and data quality checks
- Q&A



OVC_SERV



OVC_SERV Indicator Definition Change – Effective FY17Q4



- (NEW) OVC_SERV: Active beneficiaries are those who **received at least one service in the past three months**. OVC who have been registered in the program, but have not yet received at least one service in the past 3 months cannot be reported under OVC_SERV in FY17Q4
- (OLD): “Active beneficiary” is an individual, a child, or parent/caregiver who is scheduled to receive a PEPFAR OVC program services at least once every three months or has received a PEPFAR OVC program services in the last three months. New beneficiaries who only registered in the last quarter will be counted as active, even if they have not yet received services.




What constitutes a Service?

What are NOT services	Examples of emergency Services	Examples of short-term services	Examples of long-term services
<p>Enrollment Assessment Case plan development Case plan monitoring</p>	<p>Facilitating access to services, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilitating clients to report violence and abuse to legal and/or other authorities and seek legal assistance 	<p>Counseling and educating clients, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Counseling and educating HIV+ pregnant women on the importance of pre and post-natal care and PMTCT <p>Administering diagnostic risk assessments, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administering HIV risk assessment using a PEPFAR endorsed risk assessment tool for children who are negative/no status <p>Facilitating access to services, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilitating children to access and enroll in school or vocational training center 	<p>Counseling and educating clients, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Counseling and educating caregivers to disclose caregiver and children's HIV status <p>Identifying and addressing challenges, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying and addressing challenges to adhering to treatment through targeted problem solving <p>Directly providing services, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directly providing household economic strengthening interventions, including consumption support (e.g. cash transfers, education or other subsidies), money management activities (e.g. financial education and savings and loan associations), and income promotion activities (e.g. skills training and income generation activities) <p>Facilitating caregivers to implement critical actions, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilitating caregivers to implement necessary steps to pursue legal cases against perpetrators of violence, promote physical and emotional recovery of children, put in place additional safeguards to prevent further violence, and access other services as needed



FY17Q2 Global Results for OVC_SERV<18 years





FY17Q2 Achievement for OVC_SERV <18 years

- **90% and above**

- Cote d'Ivoire
- DRC
- Ethiopia
- Kenya*
- Lesotho
- Namibia
- Nigeria*
- Uganda
- Zimbabwe*

*Top 5 countries with highest case loads

- **Below 90%**

- Botswana
- Cameroon
- Haiti
- India
- Malawi
- Mozambique
- Rwanda
- South Africa
- South Sudan
- Swaziland
- Tanzania*
- Zambia*




Example where OVC_SERV total numerator does not equal the sum of OVC_SERV<18 and 18+

OVC_SERV	Program Status (Total Num)	Total Age/Sex	Difference (Num-Age)	%Difference
Results FY17 SAPR	5,679,404	5,922,405	-243,001	-4%
Targets FY17 APR	6,371,821	6,262,803	109,018	2%


OU Selection & Indicator
(All) | OVC_SERV

Agency | Prime Partner (Selection)
(All) | (All) | (All)






Countries where OVC_SERV total numerator did not equal the sum of OVC_SERV<18 and 18+





FY17Q2 Global Results for OVC_SERV by age/sex

- For FY17 targets only set for <18 and 18+




Age/Sex	<01	01-09	10-14	15-17	18-24	25+	Total
Females	0	0	852,110	503,155	263,186	664,132	2,282,583
Males	0	0	744,170	432,061	192,525	266,190	1,634,946
Sex Not Recorded	186,414	1,818,462	0	0	0	0	2,004,876
Total	186,414	1,818,462	1,596,280	935,216	455,711	930,322	5,922,405



FY17Q2 Global Proportions of OVC_SERV by Participation Types

OVC_SERV Program Status





Important to monitor OVC_SERV by participation status: Example of high exited without graduation

Table 1: OVC_SERV Results by Participation Status

299,304




OVC_SERV Total Numerator
(Autosum of Participation Status Types)

261,477

FY17 OVC_SERV Total Numerator Target

114%

% Achievement




*Note that FY17 targets were not set for specific participation status categories.

*Participation status includes ages 0-25+	OVC_SERV Result	Target (for ages 0-25+)	Participation Status % Achievement
Total	299,304	261,477	114%
Active	267,037		102%
Exited without Graduation	18,607		7%
Graduated	12,560		5%
Transferred	1,100		0%



Important to monitor OVC_SERV by participation status: Example of high exited without graduation





OVC_SERV Guiding Questions for Narratives

1. What is the total achievement of OVC_SERV for <18 years and total numerator? Please explain partners with highest/lowest performance.
2. Please explain results by participation status disaggregate:
 - What criteria do beneficiaries need to achieve in order to graduate? Is that standard across partners in your OU?
 - How many beneficiaries exited without graduation? Please explain the reasons for exiting without graduation and try to quantify with percentages if possible. Are there certain partners with higher rates of exiting without graduation? How are you managing this with the partner(s)?
 - How many beneficiaries were transitioned? To whom (e.g. other NGOs, government support, etc). Where were beneficiaries transferred? Please provide disaggregates for beneficiaries transferred to specific sources of support.
 - Of those who are reported to be active, what percentage is newly enrolled? Any re-enrollments of those LTFU? If yes, how many? Are any partners especially good at finding and re-enrolling those LTFU?
3. For FY17Q4 only, how many new beneficiaries were registered in the last quarter but did not yet receive services (e.g. How many beneficiaries met the old OVC_SERV definition but not the new OVC_SERV definition.)

OVC_HIVSTAT



OVC_HIVSTAT Indicator Definition


Description: Percentage of orphans and vulnerable children (<18 years old) with HIV status reported to implementing partner (including report of no status)

Numerator: Number of orphans and vulnerable children (<18 years old) with HIV status reported to implementing partner, disaggregated by status type

Denominator: Number of orphans and vulnerable children reported under OVC_SERV (<18 years old) [Denominator is not collected again, as part of this indicator but is collected under the indicator OVC_SERV]





FY17Q2 Global Results for OVC_HIVSTAT






FY17Q2 Global proportions for OVC_HIVSTAT: HIV Positive and ART Status




Proportion of OVC who are HIV Positive Who are on TX Compared to Completeness of Reporting



	HIV_STAT POS	Status of ART
Missing		7,457
Not Receiving ART		19,033
Receiving ART		109,968
HIV_STAT POS	136,458	

% of OVC who are Receiving ART and the Completeness of Reporting





FY17 Q2 Achievement for OVC_HIVSTAT

- **90% and above:**

- Ethiopia
- Kenya
- Lesotho
- Nigeria
- South Africa
- Swaziland

- **70-89%:**


- Botswana
- Cote d'Ivoire
- Haiti
- Malawi
- Uganda

- **Below 70%**

- DRC
- Mozambique
- Namibia
- Rwanda
- South Sudan
- Tanzania
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe


*Cameroon is not listed because they over-reported by including OVC over 18.

Data Completeness Issues: HIV Positive and ART Coverage






FY17Q2 OVC_HIVSTAT Results: Global proportions for No Status and its disaggregates



Proportion of "No Status" and the Reasons for "No Status"

■ No Status (Undisclosed to IP) ■ Test Not Indicated ■ Other Reasons ■ Missing ■ % Test Not Indicated ■ % Other Reasons ■ % Missing



	No Status	Reasons for No Status	Percentages
Missing		186,016	
Other Reasons		891,414	
Test Not Indicated		317,675	
No Status (Undisclosed to IP)	1,395,105		
% Missing			13%
% Other Reasons			64%
% Test Not Indicated			23%



OVC_HIVSTAT No Status and Disaggregates by Country

15 countries with >30% results reported under Other Reasons





OVC_HIV STAT Guiding Questions for Narratives

1. For OVC_HIVSTAT, if less than 100% of caregivers have reported their child's status, please explain the percentage that have not reported to the IP their child's status and the plan to get closer to 100% coverage.
 - Are there certain partners that are struggling; how is the Mission responding?
2. For children reported as not currently on ART, what are efforts are being undertaken in response?
 - Are there certain partners with low ART coverage, why?
3. Please explain the breakdown of those reported under No Status. What percentage were:
 - 1) risk assessed and reported as test not indicated
 - 2) test indicated
 - 3) caregivers unwilling to disclose status
 - 4) incomplete referrals for testing
 - 5) Other reasons (please specify)
4. Please note the number of new pediatric HIV cases identified due to the efforts of the OVC project to identify undiagnosed pediatric cases
5. If available, please note the % of caregivers enrolled in the OVC program who know their status (disaggregated by status), and the % of caregivers living with HIV in treatment. Please also note the number of new adult HIV cases identified due to the efforts of the OVC program to identify undiagnosed adult HIV cases.



OVC_HIVSTAT offers opportunities to see OVC contribution to 90-90-90 with some caution about interpretation

- Not a testing indicator and cannot provide data on positivity yield for OVC
- No targets set, but aiming for high coverage compared with <18 OVC_SERV
- Caregiver report of OVC HIV status and not based on confirmed test results
- Not all OVC need to be tested: children should be assessed for risk to HIV
- Expect to see proportion included in “other reasons” decline over time
- FAQ available to improve reporting at Q4 and address questions
 - [MER 2.0 OVC Indicators FAQ FINAL](#)
- Language inconsistency between MER guidance and DATIM being resolved for Q4 (undisclosed versus no status)
- Peace Corps not currently reporting on indicator



Contacts:

Christine Fu chfu@usaid.gov

Amy Aberra eaberra@usaid.gov

Jasmine Buttolph jbuttolph@peacecorps.gov

KaeAnne Parris jdu4@cdc.gov

Katherine O'Conner iyo6@cdc.gov

Salewa Oyelaran ooyelaran@peacecorps.gov

Q&A
